

### **REMARKS**

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested. No new claims have been added. Claims 1-16 remain pending. No fees are believed to be due. If this is incorrect, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees due or credit any overpayments to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Claims 1-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as containing subject matter not described in the specification as to enable one skilled in the art. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action asserts that the “converter reconverting the serial execution code into a second event-driven program” recited in claims 1 and 8 is not disclosed in the specification. Claims 1 and 8, as amended, do not recite “converter reconverting the serial execution code into a second event-driven program”. Therefore, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended to more clearly recite the invention. Therefore, the rejection is rendered moot and should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson (U.S. Pat. No. 5,956,736) in view of Popp (U.S. Pat. No 6,651,108). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Hanson fails to teach or suggest claim 1 or claim 8. Hanson fails to teach or suggest an event-driven program or inputting via an event-driven program. Rather Hanson merely discloses a box window 400 in which a user may type script commands and receive system messages (col. 9, lines 33-37 and Fig. 4). Hanson also discloses dragging an object into an Object Editor

window and modifying the object by, e.g., typing in a text string such as “This is a header” (col. 11, line 42 – col. 12, line 18). Because claims 1 and 8 recite event-driven programs and Hanson fails to teach or suggest event-driven programs, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Hanson also fails to teach or suggest converting a method or property of an object into serial execution code. Hanson merely discloses dragging objects to an editor window or editing the objects. There is no teaching or suggestion of converting a method or property of an object into serial execution code.

Also, Hanson fails to teach or suggest outputting serial execution code to a server that serially executes the serial execution code. Indeed, Hanson fails to teach or suggest either serial execution code or a server at all.

Hanson also fails to teach or suggest executed serial execution code being represented as a second event driven program. Hanson does not teach or suggest either executed serial execution code or event driven programs at all.

Popp does not make up for the deficiencies of Hanson. Popp merely discloses mapping objects in an object-oriented environment but, like Hanson, also fails to teach or suggest inputting via an event-driven program, converting a method or property of an object into serial execution code, representing serial execution code as an event driven program, outputting the serial execution code to a server that serially executes the serial execution code, or representing executed serial execution code as an event driven program.

Because Hanson and Popp, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest claim 1 or claim 8, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 2-7 and 9-16 depend from claims 1 and 8, respectively, and are allowable for at least the reasons set forth above for claims 1 or 8.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and prompt allowance are respectfully requested. If the Examiner feels that a telephone interview would be helpful in facilitating prosecution of the case, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney of record to discuss the application.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Atty A 48303  
for Christopher R. Glembocki  
Registration No. 38,800

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
1001 G Street, N.W., 11th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 824-3000

Dated: September 8, 2004